

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
FRIDAY, MAY 8, 2009  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S140911**      H027693 Sixth Appellate District

**VARGAS (ANGELINA  
MORFIN) v. CITY OF  
SALINAS**

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to July 17, 2009, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

**S171164**      C058025 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. ROBINSON  
(HERMAN RAY)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 10, 2009.

**S078895**

**PEOPLE v. SIVONGXXAY  
(VAENE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 7, 2009.

**S091915**

**PEOPLE v. NUNEZ (DANIEL)  
& SATELE (WILLIAM)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David H. Goodwin's representation that he anticipates filing appellant William Tupua Satele's reply brief by July 27, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 29, 2009. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

**S139789**

**HARRIS (MAURICE  
LYDELL) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Rama R. Maline's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by September 30, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that

document is granted to July 2, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

**S172318**

**PINNAVAIA (MATTHEW D.)  
v. BROWN, JR., (EDMUND  
G.)/(DE BEERS  
CONSOLIDATED MINES  
LTD.**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.

**S154373**

**MAJORS ON DISCIPLINE**

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of PAUL J. MAJORS, State Bar Number 153641, is revoked. The court further orders that PAUL J. MAJORS is suspended from the practice of law for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. PAUL J. MAJORS is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first year of his probation (with credit given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on December 26, 2008), and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. He makes restitution to Michael and Holly Jurgensen in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 18, 2003 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Michael and Holly Jurgensen, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
  - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding conditions, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).);
2. PAUL J. MAJORS must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order filed on December 23, 2008; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if PAUL J. MAJORS has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

PAUL J. MAJORS must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.